

Dear friends, neighbours and journalists

Since a few days I am allowed to deal with an article of the magazine "der Spiegel" from Germany. In this article the editor [Martin Doerry](#)

(https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Doerry) who is employed there claims that an alleged "right-wing German scene" wants to establish a right-wing extremist colony on our island Cape Breton. Even though I personally have not noticed anything about this yet, I will go into it in more detail in the following. I have much more important things to do than to deal with this nonsense, because I have a lot of work to do in the garden and help my five year old son Felix to build "his tree house". But since the local press in our beautiful province has also taken over this nonsense unchecked and my wife has already taken this matter so much to heart that she got a bladder infection and can hardly sleep at night, I now feel obliged to comment in detail. Also the realization that there are still some simply structured fellow citizens who apparently "believe" every nonsense only because it is printed in a newspaper or is sent on television. Unbelievable. (Quote Friedrich Nietzsche : "To believe means not to want to know"). I think that this matter has been cleared up after this and also those neighbours and fellow citizens who do not know me personally can sleep peacefully again and enjoy the summer on our beautiful island and our province with their families in peace. All those who know me and my family for many years here as friends, neighbours or business partners will have no problem with this report anyway. I can't imagine that any of my neighbours or fellow citizens feel threatened in any way by me, my wife or my three small children, who were all born here on "our" beautiful island of Cape Breton.

First, about my alleged "political" activity. At no time in my life have I been an active member of any political party. in any political party whatsoever. Also, I have never been and still am nowhere "politically" active. Also I was never a member of the NSDAP, i.e. the party of Adolf Hitler, because I did not live at that time. And if I would have lived there I probably would not even have gone to a political election and I am certainly not a sympathizer of socialist organizations or socialist, i.e. left ideas and thoughts, no matter where they come from. If you would like to put me into some kind of "drawer" of my personal basic attitude, then please put me into the right drawer. (People apparently love to arrange other people in drawers). I am

probably rather classically conservative and above all I am libertarian. That means in the first case that I identify myself with my culture, traditions, customs, traditions that have been handed down over the years and that I identify myself with values and classic family structures. I love my small family above all. This is one way to express it. Furthermore, I love my personal freedom and I have come to the conclusion that there are natural rights that every human being is entitled to from birth and that nobody can or may take away from you. These are above all the right to physical and mental integrity, the right to one's lawfully acquired property and the right to freedom of opinion and thought. Also the right to freely choose the place where one wants to live with his family in peace is mentioned here. If I, as a German born man, decide to live on Cape Breton in Canada, it means for me to follow the rules of the country, to learn the local language and to integrate myself into the community in which I live. Immigration is for me always an obligation to bring. This is how I see it and this is how I try to live here. Since Immanuel Kant's categorical imperative: ("what you don't want to be done to you, don't do to anyone else") is my main life maxim, I have never done any harm to anyone through my conscious actions. Also I have never called for violence towards other people. Our children are also shaped in this sense. By teaching them to have "reverence for life" and to preserve and value creation and nature. Without exception, violence is only necessary to defend oneself and one's family if one has been violently attacked beforehand. I also consider this to be a natural basic right of every human being. But it must and should not happen if all people follow the golden rule of Immanuel Kant.

So, "nobody's master and nobody's servant". Or to put it another way:

To live freely, responsibly and self-determined.

In order to check the actual truth and thus the seriousness of the original article by Mr. Martin Doerry, the "Der Spiegel" editor from Germany, let's take a closer look at his statements.

Here we go.

Mr. Doerry writes in his original article in "Der Spiegel" that I was an employee of Andreas Popp.

Wrong.

I have never and at no time been an employee of Andreas Popp.

Mr. Doerry writes that "Der Spiegel" has a court decision.

That suggests that I was convicted of some sort of illegal activity here in Nova Scotia.

Wrong.

This alleged "verdict" Mr. Doerry should gladly disclose. There is no "verdict" of any kind against me here in Nova Scotia.

Mr. Doerry claims that I am a "conspiracy idiot." Well, in the FRG, the Ministry of Assisted Thinking seems to have no trouble in quickly and mercilessly pleasing people who think differently with the Nazi club or something more harmless than conspiracy ideologists.

This title is also **wrong**.

If I feel committed to an ideology, Mr Doerry, then it is the "ideology" of truth and that of freedom, and I am quite open to that.

Where the truth is not free, freedom is not true.

Mr. Doerry points out that my plots of land offered by my small company are often undeveloped.

Wrong.

All ! plots offered by me have access to a public road or access route. They are therefore, so to speak, always available for a new owner. If you unlock a locked door to gain access to the area behind it, you have closed the area behind it, Mr. Doerry. Many of my areas are also connected to electricity, although not all of them. Many of my customers rather strive for the greatest possible independence and "autarky". A term which, according to their own statements in their small article, is also a "keyword of the right-wing extremist scene". At this point I could not help smiling Mr. Doerry. We both agree that "self-sufficiency" in meaning and content is the same as self-sufficiency. Without self-sufficiency, self-sufficiency is not conceivable and not possible. Is that right? The BLE (Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Food) in Germany itself maintains a publicly accessible index on the degree of self-sufficiency of the German population. A Federal Ministry which officially

takes up and confirms the topic self-sufficiency (we remember - self-sufficiency = autarky - the "right" term). Now it is out ! Have we not all already suspected it? A Federal Ministry as a right-wing extremist thought center. Oh dear, where is this going to lead... But see for yourself:

<https://www.ble.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/BZL/Informationsgrafiken/Selbstversorgungsgrad.html>

If I were to promise all my customers "healthy self-sufficiency", as they claim in their report, it would mean that I would have to maintain a permanent supply of all the goods and food needed by all these people.

Do you yourself, Mr Doerry, have any thoughts on this point?

I do not need to mention that this statement of yours is also factually **incorrect**.

Mr. Martin Doerry claims that in 2015 a family from Austria purchased a plot of land from me.

This statement is also demonstrably **false**.

The family you are targeting acquired the property from a neighbour. Not from me. The property was never in my possession.

The question arises as to why the man from Austria acquired the property from the neighbour when he had also visited several other properties at the time. Why didn't he buy another one which seemed to be cheaper to him ? Why then complain later if one has agreed to the negotiated purchase price of one's own free will ?

Mr. Doerry further claims that I promised the family from Austria that I would assist them in the process of applying for immigration.

This statement is **false**.

It is clearly stated in German and English on my website under "Newsettler consulting" that I NONE ! Immigration consultation make. I have always communicated this clearly in the past. What I do is that I report about my own immigration process or if necessary, about the immigration experiences of other immigrants. This is not forbidden. Regarding immigration counseling itself, I explicitly refer to several immigration counselors licensed in Canada under "References" on my site. Anyone can easily check this.

Whoever can read is clearly at an advantage Mr. Doerry.

<https://fe-propertysales.de/en/newsettler-consultation/>

Let's get to one of the very rare true statements in her report:

You write that I offer "emigration counseling". That is correct and corresponds to the facts. What exactly I mean by this and what this service consists of can be read on my site in both languages. See the note in the previous paragraph.

What else is true in your report? They write that I have expressed myself to the "madness of authorities" in the FRG. Well, that is true and everyone can read about it on my site. It is also true that I call the EU the EUDSSR. In fact the structure of the whole EU in Brussels is almost exactly comparable to the structure of the former USSR. It is officials and commissioners who were never freely elected by the people living in Europe who decide about the lives of all people. One of the main reasons why I do not like "politics" Mr. Doerry.

True politics saves a people from difficulties and leads to a sustainable improvement of the living conditions of the people of a country. However, I can see this almost nowhere.

I also stand by my statement "EU green socialism and planned economy".

What else would you call that?

The whole construction of the so-called EU is designed for redistribution. So it is managed from one pocket to the other and the FRG taxpayer vouches for everything. "Rescue parachute, ESM, Target 2 Redistribution = socialism.

Free markets look different.

Mr. Martin Doerry claims that in 2016 I sold an approximately **60000 square meter** property for 177.000 Euro to a German couple.

Wrong.

The plot of land which the customers named by him from Bad Säckingen in Southern Germany had bought from me at that time is demonstrably **almost 166000 square meters**. So it is almost three times as big.

Oops !

A "small" difference is also a false statement.

How do you call the opposite of truth ?

Tell me Mr. Doerry ...

But maybe it is only a slight mathematical deficit for which Mr. Doerry is not able to do anything.

Let us stick to mathematics, Mr Doerry. **I will make it very simple so that you can follow.**

Mr. Martin Doerry claims to have an "expert opinion" which allegedly proves that the property I sold at the time was only worth 30,000 Canadian dollars.

I've heard there is a valuation report that my buyers allegedly had done I never saw it myself. Perhaps Mr. Doerry would like to present it to the public if it exists.

To the 166000 square meter property it can be proven that there is an existing infrastructure with roads and accesses, meadows, open spaces, wells and power lines which make this property immediately usable for the owner. The total value of the existing infrastructure would be over 80.000 Canadian Dollars, if you would have all this built on an undeveloped, wooded property today. Every real appraisal can confirm this without any problems. The actual property value of the approximately 166000 square meter large property with several hundred meters of south shore waterfront at a beautiful fresh water lake is not even considered. Thus, for the buyers of that time, the purchase price for a property in the best location and short accessibility to all shopping facilities was not even 1 Euro and 10 Cent.

Could you follow Mr. Doerry so far ?

In this purchase price all purchase costs, notary fees, registrations and the land transfer tax were already included.

Every reader is allowed to reflect on this himself.

Did your denunciator, ah - "informant" (German businessman according to your own statement) not tell you that ?

It should also be noted that the customers had previously looked around freely on the real estate market and also examined other projects. Their own

decision to acquire this property was made of their own free will. I did not hold a revolver to their head.

After the customers had bought the property they went on a fact-finding trip on the island and stayed overnight in a guesthouse of a "German businessman" who is also active in the real estate market on Cape Breton and promotes properties for sale on his side. Shortly after that the relationship between me and my customers, which had been quite trustful until then, got a little confused, to put it nicely. The aforementioned "businessman" contacted me and said that my customers had suddenly turned to him and told me that they were no longer happy with the purchase, which had already been completed, and that they demanded a reversal of the purchase and that they no longer wanted to talk to me personally, but that he, the "German-speaking businessman", should conduct all negotiations with me. Since it sounded at first as if I should pay all the additional costs I had already paid for the purchase, I kept a low profile and referred to these and other unresolved points. The consequence was that the buyer immediately called in a lawyer. Unfortunately, I had to do this as well although I never refused to buy the property back from the beginning. I was of the opinion that it makes little sense to produce an, albeit surprisingly and unexpectedly, dissatisfied customer. Especially since I knew about the extraordinary attractiveness and the more than reasonable price-performance ratio of the property. To make a long story short I bought the property back and refunded the price. Nobody has suffered any damage and it was not before because the property was worth the price paid to my company.

Shortly afterwards my former customers bought another farm property on the other side of the island not far from Middel River near Baddeck. Would be interesting to check who was the first contact to the seller of this other farm? a prankster who thinks bad thoughts.

This is the only transaction of this kind since I have been doing business here on Cape Breton. I think that all my other clients can confirm my reliable and serious approach.

Does the truth of your " Spiegel article" become higher just because half of the journalist world copies this article of yours almost unchecked Mr. Doerry ?

If you multiply a bucket of shit often enough, will it eventually become a cream cake?

The fact that you yourself were once deputy editor-in-chief of the magazine "der Spiegel" is without exception proof of the special quality and seriousness of this magazine.

You further claim that I send unsolicited E Mail.

Question to you Mr. Doerry:

Have you requested every e-mail you receive privately or professionally?

I send my "Canada Brief" as well as occasional messages about general world events without exception to addresses that are in my address register. So to people I already know and with whom I have contact or once had contact. Everybody has the possibility to unsubscribe at any time if he does not want to receive any more E Mail from me. Also I present here no ideological things that I want to missionize into the world. It is about pure information on various topics from all areas of life. Also I do not necessarily adopt the opinion and view of the information and statements made there. Very often I have a very different opinion about it, but still different information can be interesting and I assume that every reader is spiritually able to find out and evaluate this for himself.

So what is your problem and what is my "crime" ?

You further claim that I am a so called "Reichsbürger" or sympathiser of Reichsbürger.

If your "informant" alludes to the fact that I have a reference to the statement of the

former SPD member of parliament Carlo Schmid in his keynote speech before the parliamentary council on 8.9.1948, then this is certainly correct. I remember that I had sent the quote of the SPD politician. In it was also a reference to the statement of Dr. Wolfgang Schäuble, CDU politician and current president of the German Bundestag who has publicly stated that the FRG was and is at no time ever a sovereign state. So these are not my statements Mr. Doerry. Is one a "citizen of the Reich" because one has

passed on the statements of two German politicians which are freely accessible to everyone? Statements ?

Have I ever at any time and anywhere claimed to be a "Reich Citizen"? (You remember, I don't like politics!)

Since the birth of my first son Felix 5 years ago, I try to be a good dad every day Mr. Doerry. This is what is important to me.

Then write that I sent a report in which the Red Cross claims that there was no poison gas in concentration camps.

This is demonstrably **false**.

I have looked up what topics and reports and documents I can find in my library and what I might have sent out once.

I only have one document in front of me which is supposed to be from the Swiss Red Cross and which does not deal with the topic "poison gas" anywhere. In this report of the Swiss which I have, it was about the death figures in concentration camps documented by the Swiss.

I have never expressed my agreement or opinion on the content of this report. I was so astonished about the information of the Swiss Red Cross in the document that I had even stated this accordingly.

So where exactly is my "crime" here ?

Then to their statement that I sent a note about the book of the Jewish book author Mr. Gerard Menuhin (son of the violinist Yehudi Menuhin). This information is correct.

And where exactly is the problem here ?

Have I called for any violence or agitated against any people or killed or robbed anybody or what ? Has anybody been harmed by sending a link to a book Mr. Doerry ?

In the following I will add to this the concrete and provable life situation you have brought my little family through your "qualified" report and I will send you the bill for all the damages I and my family have suffered until today. You can rely on that, Mr Martin Doerry.

But let us now take a closer look at the whole process.

Let us start by clarifying a few terms that most people, almost without exception, confuse with each other or adopt incorrectly due to ignorance.

How did the political terms of "right" and "left" originally come about ?

That is quite easy to prove. In many parliaments of the world the "Socialists sat and sit on the left side of the seating arrangement and the Conservative parties mainly on the right side of the Sales session. So the classical conservatives, who were generally committed to their own culture, customs and stable family associations, were called "right-wingers". The socialists, who were often basically concerned with some form of "redistribution" of property, sat on the left side of the meeting room. That is why they were called "leftists". From the classical point of view of correct terminology, therefore, I would actually have deserved the designation "right" with my partly conservative basic attitude. But therefore all other people who identify themselves worldwide with a conservative view and attitude to life are also "rightists". Even though I was and am not politically active anywhere here, as already mentioned. Basically I do not like politics. However, if you are interested in many things like I am, you are confronted with it quite often and inevitably. Whether you like it or not.

So let's come briefly to the often quoted German National Socialists from Adolf Hitler's party. Hitler, his propaganda minister Dr. Josef Göbbels and other high-ranking members of his party have repeatedly and demonstrably expressed themselves very clearly on this issue. Here is a quotation from the Propaganda Minister Dr. Josef Göbbels from 6.12.1931: "According to the idea of the NSDAP we are the German left. Nothing is more hated than the right-wing national civil bloc."

Adolf Hitler in his function as Chancellor of the Reich himself said it shortly before the end of the war:

"One of our biggest political mistakes was that we failed to fight the right wing Civic Bloc in time."

So once again in plain language. If someone is interpreted for whatever reason by an outsider into the National Socialist corner then the name for this alleged National Socialist sympathiser must be "Left". Because a right-wing is a conservative and the so-called "Nazis" were never "right-wing" in their own opinion and statement. They fought and hated the "right-wing" conservatives.

So the German national socialists were "left" like all socialists.

The subject of my library. Even if this is basically nobody's business because it is my private matter, I will now give an insight into this "oh so dangerous facts".

How did I originally get interested in historical books and documents ?

What was the initial spark ?

I'll give you an example (not surprising for Canadians because these facts are treated sufficiently and truthfully here in North America in historical school lessons) for a German student like me once was.

Here is a short summary from me for all those who have left their history lessons behind a little longer than a little refresher.

The following event of 1940 made me awake:

July 3rd, 1940

The drama of Mers-el-Kebir.

<https://www.historynet.com/operation-catapult-naval-destruction-at-mers-el-kebir.htm>

Or better known as "The Enterprise Catapult":

After the French Vichi government, after the German invasion, decided that further military resistance against the German Wehrmacht was futile, it entered into ceasefire negotiations with the German leadership. The German leadership assured to disarm France's strong and modern navy, but not to confiscate it and use it against England. An exception was the planned confiscation of a few ships for the purpose of mine clearance. The French

government agreed and assured the English government under Churchill that their fleet would not be handed over to the German navy, that the ships would sink themselves before the German side would possibly take action. Churchill did not believe his allies and decided to launch the secret operation Catapult, in which all French ships would be ordered to either surrender or sink themselves. If the French would not comply he ordered a destruction of the French fleet. The majority of the French ships were at that time in the port of Mers-el-Kebir in Algeria, North Africa. On July 3rd a strong British fleet appeared off Mers-el-Kebir and gave the French Admiral Somerville the ultimatum to fight together with the English fleet against the German navy, to transfer the French ships to English ports where they would be disarmed, or to sink their own ships. The French commander rejected the ultimatum and the English began to fire on the French who were not ready to fight at 4.56 a.m., after having already mined the entrance to the port to prevent the French fleets from escaping. Several French units were heavily damaged or sunk. A few ships escaped. The fighting that Churchill ordered cost well over 1300 French sailors their lives. About 400 were injured. Other sources even speak of over 2600 French dead. Thereupon the French Vichi government broke off diplomatic contacts with England and attacked the English in retaliation on September 24th and 25th with their own bombers on Gibraltar. But the damage caused to the British was minimal.

I was so astonished that I started to look for literature and sources of information elsewhere. Since then I collect books and documents in any form and on various topics.

It is not easy to grow up in a country like the FRG in which these facts for a knowledge enthusiast young people officially at least hardly accessible or are not taught in history lessons. There are many more examples which are even more exciting and surprising than the one mentioned above.

So all my life I have loved books and I now have my own small library with numerous works on various topics such as financial topics and monetary systems, numerous libertarian works, historical works, health and nutrition, philosophy, technology and nature, pregnancy and birth, animals and hobbies, garden design and permaculture, yoga & sports etc. That is why for me the consumption of daily newspapers, magazines etc. is largely unnecessary. We don't watch TV at all, we haven't owned a TV for over 20

years. Some of the works in my small library have become rare. Especially historical documents and publications (some very old works are already printed before 1900), often prove to be very interesting if you love books. A part of my archives and my archives is sorted more modern, thus in electronic form archived. In both variants there are of course also copies which belong to the "unwanted, bad or dangerous" books according to the current guidelines of the Political Correctness in Germany. (I personally never found a book dangerous as long as it did not call for violence against others). This includes inevitably works from the time of National Socialism as well as several works written by Jewish authors, such as the work of Norman Finkelstein, "The Holocaust Industry". There are also copies of the Jewish Talmud, the Bible, the Koran, the Edda, writings and quotations from Stalin, Churchill and Roosevelt if one wants to stick to historical political and religious themes. Occasionally I have also given copies to some people who are also interested in my directory and copied them on personal request for private use. If it should correspond to the facts the "Interpol" a copy of a hard disk is present which actually comes from my archives, (which could be clarified fast if the appropriate police officers would announce themselves times with me and would send me a listing of contents of the book or film titles of "their" file. Then I could check first of all whether the copy allegedly available to the police originated from my own directory. So far, at least, their clever "informants" and they themselves claim all this only Mr. Martin Doerry. I at least have not yet received any proof of this.

Have you ever held this hard disk in your hands, checked its contents and compared it with the library of Frank Eckhardt Mr Martin Doerry ?

It would make sense to check with the police if "Interpol" really is a hard disk or a USB memory. If it should be a USB memory device I could not say for whom I copied it once (if the content is demonstrably identical with my library). Some times I had made partial copies of my library to other people I knew. But this happened without exception only on a USB memory device. So if it is a hard disk, I only copied a hard disk once for someone. This was the family from Bad S. in Southern Germany who bought the property from me, which I bought back. Their interest in all the historical topics was especially high. Strange that they now wanted to get rid of it all "so suddenly and quickly" to the police after they asked me in advance for a copy of the interesting files. How can this be Mr. Doerry ? As I said, if it is this hard drive, we should ask the family about it at a meeting with the Canadian police

when the family is back in beautiful Canada. Contact with the family could be established without any problems, as I know both their German and Canadian addresses. So I can help out in this case and contribute to the clarification of this whole senseless story as far as I can. As I said, only if it is really the one hard disk I recorded. Otherwise it should be a mistake and this family has nothing to do with it. Again, the presumption of innocence is valid until proven otherwise. But I already have an increased interest to find out to whom I and especially my little family owe the highly qualified and truthful article that has been soaking in truth since its publication. So who is to blame for the fact that I am currently under massive attack by at least some media-believing idiots and to whom I send the bill for all the damage to property that I have suffered until today. You are well known to me. But at least as much blame is laid on their "serious informants" who, for whatever reason, have brought this nonsense into the world. I was therefore yesterday with the police in St. Peters and have asked for their protection for my family. I am not afraid for myself, but I have a wife, a 5 year old son, a 3 year old son and a 4 month young girl at home who don't know what the idiots stirred up by their article are still willing to do to destroy the imaginary National Socialists.

So this part of the Spiegel article corresponds to the facts that I have copied a part of my archive and passed it on on personal request.

But where exactly is the problem here ?

Did someone die or get hurt ?

Did I therefore call for a "holy fight" against anyone or violence against other people ?

So if nobody has changed or added anything to the original content of my files, you won't find anything of the same on them. Politically not desired and partly very uncomfortable topics surely enough. But does this mean that I have to adopt all contents of all books and files in my library ? Technically this would not be possible at all because I often find completely opposite topics and opinions in the most different works. Also everyone is allowed to come forward and tell me publicly that I have "denied" clearly provable historical events. Of course there was a Holocaust. Is the topic now also finished ? Am I now suddenly a Muslim just because I have read some suras in the Koran? Am I a Jew because I have read passages from the Talmud ? Am

I automatically a Marxist and Stalinist because I have read statements of Stalin ? Am I now a National Socialist just because I also read "my struggle" by Adolf Hitler ? (by the way, after the Bible and the Koran one of the most sold books worldwide as I have read until today). I read out of pure self-interest, or is reading forbidden ? Even in the FRG this is promised by the Basic Law in article 5 at least on paper:

"Everyone has the right to express and spread his opinion freely in word, writing and pictures and to inform himself from generally accessible sources unhindered. The freedom of the press and the freedom of reporting by radio and film shall be guaranteed. There shall be no censorship."

I don't care if a neighbour rents a porn film from his mate. If they enjoy it, it is their personal and private matter, as long as they do not confront my children with something like that without being asked. So here again: if a personal action does not harm anyone else, there is no crime and it should be allowed.

I think that every human being can do and leave everything he wants as long as he does not harm other people with his actions. So at this point the following important question should be asked:

Who has ever been harmed by any of my conscious actions since I first came to this beautiful province of Nova Scotia 21 years ago?

If you have any real and lasting evidence of this, please feel free to present it. Even in court here in Nova Scotia if you like. I don't remember doing anything to harm any of my neighbors or fellow citizens, or customers, either personally or economically. I am certainly a lateral thinker and a person who questions many things and often comes to different, own insights than many of his fellow men.

Is that a crime here in the meantime? I am not really surprised about the reporting of the German quality media and I certainly do not need to explain to many Germans about the high quality researched reports of the "Spiegel".

However, there are some things that make me very thoughtful. This is a pre-condemnation without knowing a person personally and forming your own opinion of him or without listening to him beforehand on a topic he is confronted with.

Audiatur et altera pars

The other part is also heard.

Or simplified:


the other side must be heard.

The fact that 4 of my company signs have been destroyed here in Cape Breton Island so far is more than alarming for me and hurts me deeply because I do not do something like that myself. True heroes who attack the helpless property of others.

What was it like when in the third Reich in Germany shops of Jewish people were destroyed and damaged Mr. Martin Doerry ?



And here on 30.7.2020 on Cape Breton Island :





I ask you my dear friends and neighbours and especially you journalists.

What is the difference?

Can you explain it to me?

Is this the positive advertising message for "our island" that you send out to the rest of the world as a welcome?

Is that what you wanted, Mr. Martin Doerry ?

Is that what you really want?

Are I and my family suddenly the new Jews just because we are white, blond and blue-eyed?

Will we be allowed to wear a swastika patch soon?

What's next?

Slash tires? Smash windows?

Burn the house while my kids and wife are inside?

I think that especially the local Jewish community will take a stand against such hunts here and will support my family by speaking out against such actions. She should understand best how my family is doing right now.

Wake up from this bad movie very quickly and let's end this topic once and for all.

This is also and especially true for the local journalists who contribute to this situation by copying the false accusations from Germany and reporting sensationalism.

Bad news is good news.

Think about it people !

My 5 year old son Felix asked me yesterday at dinner:

Dad, why are people breaking all our signs now?

Yes, why Mr Martin Doerry?

Do you want to explain that to my little boys ? I invite you cordially.

We all have you as "journalist" Martin Doerry to thank for this completely unnecessary nonsense on the previously peaceful and tranquil island of Cape Breton. But first and foremost we owe it to the "courageous and secret informant", your "German businessman" as you call him.

For some years now, such and similar Wild West stories have been deliberately disseminated in the local and European "trade press". One could almost assume that the "businessman", who is quoted here as an informant in abundance, once had a qualified basic training in unjustified denunciation.

In which trade on Cape Breton will this man be active ?

What do you think?

Has nobody noticed that for some years now there have been accusations of various kinds against Rolf Baumann of Canadian Pioneer, against Andreas Popp and Eva Hermann and for a year now also against me?

Why only these three parties ?

There is a saying in German that says:

"when two quarrel, the third is glad."

Maybe it is time to see for whom it is going very well right now.

Qui Bono?

Who benefits?

I may say clearly that I have not had anything to do with the above mentioned competitors for many years, neither privately nor professionally. Nevertheless, here on the island all local residents should be relaxed. One may like the one or other business practice or not. This is up to everybody. However, I do not see that any of the colleagues here is a "danger from the right". Or that anyone is a national socialist and wants to found a "right-wing German colony" here.

There is no colony of National Socialists here on Cape Breton and nobody is planning to do so !

Has everybody understood that now?

So there is no reason to damage the property of the above mentioned colleagues or other people from Germany or Austria who live here or to attack them in any way without justification.

Wake up people.

If you need thrills go to the cinema and watch a Hollywood movie.

Just the fact that I, my competitor Andreas Popp and his life partner Eva Herman, are supposed to found a "right-wing village" on Cape Breton has almost made me cheerful. Whenever you think there is no increase in absurdities and collective mental illness, quality journalists put one more on top.

I can only imagine here that this is an allusion to my project, which has been in the making for 2 years.

I think that neither Mr. Popp nor Mrs. Herman know where exactly this little project is. Especially since it is currently not yet completely finished. But maybe it is time to invite all my colleagues to the planned "Open Day" in August or September and not only my local neighbours and friends.

Have a look at the page that is accessible for everyone:

<https://capebretoncovillage.ca/>

if anyone finds anything on this site with political background, please let me know. If I write here something of "like-minded people" must it always be a political "conspiracy" ? Is this a barracks or a model house project with gardens, ponds and greenhouse ?

Check it out when the time comes and I offer an "open day". It's about alternative construction and ways of life, it's about horticulture and permaculture, infrastructures have been created where two years ago there was still jungle. It's about independence from external energy supplies. It is about sustainability and respectful treatment of nature and creation. I am happy about like-minded people who also love and appreciate this and are interested in it.

If this has something to do with National Socialism (i.e. leftist thinking), then almost all of our ancestors were evil and dangerous National Socialists? You can also spin this nonsense even further and you can say that all vegetarians are dangerous followers of Adolf Hitler only because Hitler was a vegetarian or all people who drive a Mercedes Benz only because Hitler as Chancellor of the Reich used a Mercedes as a vehicle.

Is it still possible?

I am interested in informing my European customers about the possibilities that we here on our island can create technically and practically in terms of alternative construction, housing and living concepts in harmony with nature. That is why I have tried to place all orders for road construction, surveying, well drilling, material supplies, concrete work, house building, forestry work, plant purchasing, landscaping to local and regional companies and family businesses.

Does anyone ask if there have ever been problems or delays in the payment of the services I have provided?

Does anyone think about the fact that this small project could be a small magnet for visitors from outside in the future and will also benefit the community of St. Peters and its inhabitants ?

Who is asking how much taxes I have paid here since I first entered this island and province 21 years ago ?

Please also take a look at what I have "taken out" of our beautiful country Canada in return for social benefits ?

Maybe it also makes sense to think about how many millions of Canadian Dollars have flowed into Nova Scotia in the past decades through investors and visitors from Europe and the USA and how many jobs have been preserved and created.

Ask who my helpers and employees feel how they are treated and paid by me when they give me a hand?

Maybe one more thought:

Put yourself in my shoes. I have been working for 21 years to live here in my desired home. Now I have achieved everything I love and hoped for. I have the most wonderful wife and children a man could ever wish for, I live in a very beautiful place in the middle of nature and we have made ourselves comfortable here for years. I am socially integrated and have a small company that enables me to feed my small family.

Does anyone seriously think that I am so stupid as to risk all this by doing anything or planning what the best journalist in Germany Martin Doerry has described so imaginatively?

Does anybody really think that?

How stupid do you think I am then?

Since I've been living here I've found some really good and lovable friends whose encouragement and confidence especially in this crazy week have given my wife support and confidence. For this I would like to thank you very much at this point. I also know that the majority of my neighbours are helpful and lovable people and my wife and the whole family enjoy living here on Cape Breton and I am grateful for that. The general quality of life here is much more pleasant than in Germany, the country of our birth. I am also sure that the current damage to property is due to a few mentally underprivileged people and that the vast majority of my neighbours strictly reject the use of violence against my family or our property and do not support or approve of such things.

So, so far everything is said from my side. Now think and write from me what you want but let me and my family live here in peace.

I will now go out into the garden again and continue building my son's tree house and enjoy the summer with the children and my wife.

All the best to you

Frank Eckhardt